

February 3, 1976

future. So if there is any sense in this Legislature of safety for the children, now this Committee amendment does not put a penalty on the child. It is called a traffic infraction, and if there is a reoccurrence, the judge has the option of placing a fine of no more than \$25 but he is not required to place any fine on the child or a person that is brought up for not having the required reflectorizing on the bicycle that is ridden after dark. So I certainly would oppose the Warner amendment and ask that the Committee amendments, they have had a lot of work on them by well informed people and we have come out with a good Committee amendment on this bill and I urge you to support the Committee amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I think, Senator Moylan, he did say one statement that I could agree with was that it was a bad bill when we passed it last year, and I would also like to say this to him that all the reflectors in the world wouldn't have helped that young man out on South Street because he was hit head-on. So it wouldn't have made any difference if he'd have had reflectorized tires or if he'd have had these reflectorized items in the spokes and that is what I read of the accounts of the accident, that it was a head-on accident. I think the first thing that should be done is to go back to the original bill that Senator Luedtke and Senator Kennedy have and repeal the act. Now we have heard that there is federal regulations and federal problems with the bill. They are mandating that anything sold nowadays has this equipment on the bikes. That doesn't mean the State of Nebraska has to go along with it. I didn't hear anybody say that we were going to lose any funds over this. If we were, I am sure everybody would have jumped right on and said we have got to do it. But at this time, I can see no reason for supporting the issue because what you have, in effect, is that from now on people that buy the bikes, reflectorized tires, which aren't any good in the mud, incidentally. I went out and was a participant in the test that was put on out here at the State Fairgrounds with reflectors and reflectorized tires, and at approximately a 30 to 45 degree angle, the reflectorized tires are lost almost 100%. They are not too good when you run through water and through mud, of which happens quite a bit to bicycle riders. Last year, of course, there was no option, you know. It was a good bill with just the reflectorized tires, if you remember what the introducer said. I think that what you should do is go back and take Senator Kennedy and Senator Luedtke's bill as it was presented to the Committee and repeal the whole section but I also have to be honest and say that if you are not and you are going to accept the Committee amendments, you are going to almost have to accept Senator Warner's amendment to the Committee amendments. So I think you have a choice. Either repeal it or go along with the Committee amendments.